

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR         | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION N           |  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 09/489,254                     | 01/21/2000    | Robert Wesley Bossemeyer JR. | AMT-9704C                  | 5614                     |  |
| 75                             | 90 01/07/2003 | :                            |                            |                          |  |
| Law Office of Dale B. Halling  |               |                              | EXAMINER                   |                          |  |
| 24 S Weber Street<br>Suite 311 |               |                              | OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N       |                          |  |
| Colorado Spring                | gs, CO 80903  |                              | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2655 |                          |  |
|                                |               |                              |                            |                          |  |
|                                |               |                              | DATE MAIL ED: 01/07/2003   | DATE MAIL ED: 01/07/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/489,254   | BOSSEMEYER ET  | AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Autisory Aution   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Michael N. Opsasnick   | 2655   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avfinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A proper repl<br>n places the applica   | y to a<br>ition in  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the<br>issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claim   | S.  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti   | on(s):   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed  | amendment   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were  | e newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | · , , , ,  |  | and an  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-31</u> .   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  | \  | <b>,</b>   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  10. Other:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  | DORIS H. TO 16<br>DRY PATENT EXAMIN<br>DLOGY CENTER 2800   | VER   |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

13

Application No. 09/489,254

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly amended claim language of claim 22 would require further consideration and search. Furthermore, with respect to the arguments presented for claims pertaining to a binary yes/no decision, examiner notes that the scope of these arguments only pertain to proposed amended claim 22, since the other claims do not claim "the one preliminary decision is either a true or a false decision".